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Board Evaluation
“I don’t need a Board evaluation…

Community College Trustee
“I don’t need a Board evaluation… I get evaluated the first Tuesday in November every 4 years.”

Community College Trustee
Tough Job if You Can Keep It:
What California CEOs say about their Challenges and Longevity

and

Talk to Me:
What CCC Trustees Want From Their CEOs

Susanna Cooper, Managing Director
Wheelhouse: The Center for Community College Leadership and Research
Trustees: What’s the Hardest Part of Serving?

What Is the Most Challenging Part of Serving as a Trustee? (N=94)

- 25% Fiscal Management
- 15% Developing Knowledge
- 15% Relationship with CEO: Hiring/Communication/Avoiding Micromanagement
- 14% Meeting Community/Constituent Needs
- 13% Competing Interests
- 13% Other

Percentages do not add up to 100 because 9% of respondents did not answer this question.
The Value of Board Self-Evaluation

- Required by accreditation
- Can help the CEO
- Can help the organization
- Can help individual trustees
- Can help the Board as a whole
Required by Accreditation

10. Board policies and/or bylaws clearly establish a process for board evaluation. The evaluation assesses the board’s effectiveness in promoting and sustaining academic quality and institutional effectiveness. The governing board regularly evaluates its practices and performance, including full participation in board training, and makes public the results. The results are used to improve board performance, academic quality, and institutional effectiveness.

2014 ACCJC Standard 4.C.10 Governance & Leadership
Board Accreditation Requirements

1. Clearly established board evaluation process
2. Assesses effectiveness in promoting & sustaining academic quality & institutional effectiveness
3. Regularly evaluates practices & performance
4. Full participation in board training
5. Makes results public
6. Uses results to improve performance
Sample Self-Evaluation Process Components

1. Board completion of self-evaluation instrument
2. Constituent leader evaluation instrument
3. Conversations with individual board members
4. Facilitated open conversation in Board retreat/workshop
Sample Board Self-Evaluation Topics

1. Board operation
2. Fiscal management of the district
3. Supporting the mission of the district
4. Relationship with the community
5. Relationship with the Chancellor/President
6. Relationship with the college(s)
7. Board goals
CEO Evaluation
“I hate being evaluated...”

Community College CEO
“I hate being evaluated...my Board has no idea what I really do and they don’t know how to evaluate me.”

Community College CEO
12. The governing board delegates full responsibility and authority to the CEO to implement and administer board policies without board interference and holds the CEO accountable for the operation of the district/system or college, respectively.

2014 ACCJC Standard 4.C.12 Governance & Leadership
Trustees Perspective:
Why Do CEOs Leave Their Jobs?

In Your Opinion, Why Did Departing CEO(s) Leave? (N=75)

- Retirement: 38%
- Better Position: 17%
- Conflict with Trustees: 30%
- Conflict with Faculty: 5%
- Other: 10%

Percentages do not add up to 100 because 5% of respondents did not answer this question.
# CEO Perspective: Why Do CEOs Leave Their Jobs?

Select the three most common reasons CEOs leave their posts, in your opinion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conflicts with the Board</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirement</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit for another job with better salary or benefits</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflicts with college constituencies or community leaders</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress/burnout</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit for another job in a preferred geographic location</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational changes/reorganization/changes in direction</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We need more stability at the top!

- Average tenure of Fortune 500 CEO: 9.7 years
- Median tenure of UC/CSU president: 7 years
- Median tenure of CA CC president: 3.5 years
- Median tenure of K-12 sup.: 3.2 years

(Sources: The Conference Board, CCLC, Council of Great City Schools)
The Value of CEO Evaluation

• Measures the effectiveness of the CEO
• Assesses the effectiveness of the organization
• Helps the Board understand the CEO and the organization
• Improves the relationship between the Board and the CEO
• Reinforces the relationship between the Board and CEO among faculty & staff
Sample CEO Evaluation
Process Components

1. Self-evaluation
2. Evaluation of goals and objectives
3. Evaluation questionnaire from Board
4. Evaluation questionnaire from leadership/community
Sample CEO Evaluation Topics

1. Leadership
2. Management
3. Personal/Professional
4. Relationship with the Board of Trustees