

Projected Impact on Community College Districts of the May 19 Ballot Measures

District	Anticipated 2009-10 Cuts if Prop 1C-1E Fail	Proposition 98 Ongoing Repayment if Prop. 1B (Built over six years)
Allan Hancock	\$ (2,700,000)	\$ 8,700,000
Antelope Valley	\$ (3,200,000)	\$ 10,200,000
Barstow	\$ (800,000)	\$ 2,700,000
Butte	\$ (3,100,000)	\$ 9,800,000
Cabrillo	\$ (3,400,000)	\$ 10,900,000
Cerritos	\$ (4,700,000)	\$ 15,000,000
Chabot-Las Positas	\$ (5,100,000)	\$ 16,200,000
Chaffey	\$ (4,100,000)	\$ 13,200,000
Citrus	\$ (3,200,000)	\$ 10,300,000
Coast	\$ (10,000,000)	\$ 31,900,000
Compton	\$ (1,400,000)	\$ 4,400,000
Contra Costa	\$ (7,500,000)	\$ 24,100,000
Copper Mt.	\$ (600,000)	\$ 1,900,000
Desert	\$ (2,100,000)	\$ 6,900,000
El Camino	\$ (5,700,000)	\$ 18,400,000
Feather River	\$ (600,000)	\$ 1,900,000
Foothill-DeAnza	\$ (9,300,000)	\$ 29,700,000
Gavilan	\$ (1,600,000)	\$ 5,100,000
Glendale	\$ (4,100,000)	\$ 13,000,000
Grossmont-Cuyamaca	\$ (5,200,000)	\$ 16,600,000
Hartnell	\$ (2,000,000)	\$ 6,300,000
Imperial	\$ (2,000,000)	\$ 6,300,000
Kern	\$ (5,700,000)	\$ 18,400,000
Lake Tahoe	\$ (700,000)	\$ 2,200,000
Lassen	\$ (600,000)	\$ 2,000,000
Long Beach	\$ (6,000,000)	\$ 19,300,000
Los Angeles	\$ (29,000,000)	\$ 93,100,000
Los Rios	\$ (14,700,000)	\$ 47,200,000
Marin	*	*
Mendocino-Lake	\$ (1,100,000)	\$ 3,400,000
Merced	\$ (2,600,000)	\$ 8,200,000
Mira Costa	*	*
Monterey Peninsula	\$ (2,200,000)	\$ 6,900,000
Mt. San Antonio	\$ (7,800,000)	\$ 25,000,000
Mt. San Jacinto	\$ (2,800,000)	\$ 9,100,000
Napa Valley	\$ (1,700,000)	\$ 5,600,000
North Orange County	\$ (9,200,000)	\$ 29,400,000
Ohlone	\$ (2,500,000)	\$ 7,900,000
Palo Verde	\$ (700,000)	\$ 2,200,000
Palomar	\$ (5,400,000)	\$ 17,300,000
Pasadena Area	\$ (6,300,000)	\$ 20,100,000
Peralta	\$ (5,900,000)	\$ 18,900,000
Rancho Santiago	\$ (8,000,000)	\$ 25,800,000
Redwoods	\$ (1,500,000)	\$ 4,800,000

Projected Impact on Community College Districts of the May 19 Ballot Measures

Rio Hondo	\$	(3,700,000)	\$	11,900,000
Riverside	\$	(6,900,000)	\$	22,100,000
San Bernardino	\$	(4,100,000)	\$	13,300,000
San Diego	\$	(11,300,000)	\$	36,200,000
San Francisco	\$	(9,600,000)	\$	30,600,000
San Joaquin Delta	\$	(4,500,000)	\$	14,300,000
San Jose-Evergreen	\$	(4,300,000)	\$	13,900,000
San Luis Obispo	\$	(2,700,000)	\$	8,700,000
San Mateo	\$	(6,300,000)	\$	20,200,000
Santa Barbara	\$	(4,400,000)	\$	14,000,000
Santa Clarita	\$	(3,800,000)	\$	12,200,000
Santa Monica	\$	(6,400,000)	\$	20,400,000
Sequoias	\$	(2,600,000)	\$	8,500,000
Shasta-Tehama-Trinity	\$	(2,100,000)	\$	6,800,000
Sierra	\$	(4,200,000)	\$	13,400,000
Siskiyou	\$	(800,000)	\$	2,700,000
Solano	\$	(2,600,000)	\$	8,400,000
Sonoma	\$	(5,600,000)	\$	17,900,000
South Orange		*		*
Southwestern	\$	(4,400,000)	\$	14,100,000
State Center	\$	(7,600,000)	\$	24,400,000
Ventura	\$	(7,300,000)	\$	23,300,000
Victor Valley	\$	(2,600,000)	\$	8,300,000
West Hills	\$	(1,700,000)	\$	5,500,000
West Kern	\$	(1,100,000)	\$	3,500,000
West Valley-Mission	\$	(4,600,000)	\$	14,700,000
Yosemite	\$	(4,800,000)	\$	15,500,000
Yuba	\$	(2,400,000)	\$	7,800,000
TOTAL	\$	(319,000,000)	\$	1,023,000,000

Notes

The Proposition 1A calculation assumes that one-half of the lost revenue would be taken from K-12 and community colleges, as allowed for under law, community colleges are reduced their proportionate share, and each district shares in the percentage of their general apportionment (per-student) funding.

The Proposition 1B scenario assumes that community colleges receive 11% of the \$9.3 billion repayment, and that each district is provided a repayment proportionate to their share of general apportionment (per-student funding). Actual amounts would be determined based on legislative decisions and enrollment changes of each district.

* Excess local property tax districts do not receive state apportionment dollars, but are likely to be significantly impacted through lost categorical funds.